EXHIBIT D

DOCS\671469v1

1

2

3

11

16

17

21

25

I, PAUL F. NOVAK, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Milberg LLP and Head of the firm's Antitrust Practice Group. I submit this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees in connection with services rendered in this case, as well as the reimbursement of expenses incurred by my firm in connection with this litigation.
- 2. For the majority of this litigation, my firm acted as Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs in this class action under the leadership of Jeff Westerman. In early 2013, Mr. Westerman left the Milberg firm to start Westerman Law Corp. and has continued to serve as Co-Lead Counsel.
 - 3. The schedule attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a detailed summary indicating the amount of time spent by the partners, attorneys and professional support staff of my firm who were involved in this litigation under Mr. Westerman's direction, and the lodestar calculation based on my firm's current billing rates¹. For personnel who are no longer employed by my firm, the lodestar calculation is based upon the billing rates for such personnel in their final year of employment at the firm. The schedule was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm, which are available at the request of the Court. Time expended in preparing this application for fees and reimbursement of expenses has not been included in this request.
 - 4. The hourly rates for the partners, attorneys and professional support staff in my firm included in Exhibit 1 are the same as the regular current rates charged for their services in non-contingent matters and/or which have been accepted and approved in other class litigation.
 - 5. The total number of hours expended on this litigation by my firm is 10,632.75 hours. The total lodestar for my firm is \$4,681,212.50.

DOCS\671469v1 - 1 -

This application does not include time for anyone who spent fewer than 10 hours on this litigation.

- 6. My firm's lodestar figures are based upon the firm's billing rates, 2 which rates do not include charges for expense items. Expense items are billed separately and such charges are not duplicated in my firm's billing rates.
- Milberg LLP has referral fee agreements with Anthony S, Park, Esq., 7. who has not appeared in the case, and Krause, Kalfayan, Benink & Slavens, LLP., who has appeared in the case and performed work in the matter. Payment of referral fees, if any, made by Milberg LLP under these fee agreements would be from Milberg LLP's fee and would not increase the overall fees requested by Plaintiffs' Counsel and would not reduce the amount recovered by the Class. The 10 details of the fee agreements can be provided to the Court at the Court's request.
- 8. As detailed in Exhibit 2, my firm has incurred a total of \$220,011.04 12 in un-reimbursed expenses in connection with the prosecution of this litigation.
- 9. The expenses incurred in this action are reflected on the books and 14 records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, 15 check records and other source materials and represent an accurate recordation of 16 the expenses incurred.
- 10. With respect to the standing of counsel in this case, attached hereto as 18 Exhibit 3 is a brief biography of my firm and attorneys in my firm who were 19 principally involved in this litigation. Additionally, the firm utilized the services 20 of Ms. Jennifer Lee as an attorney on a contract basis, primarily due to Ms. Lee's 21 | legal expertise and ability to review and translate Korean language documents and 22 testimony.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 4th day of October, 2013, at Detroit, Michigan.

28

23

24

25

26

27

11

13

17

Case 2:	07-cv-05107-SJO-AGR	Document 625-4 #:8589	Filed 10/04/13	Page 5 of 38	Page ID
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14		EX	HIBIT 1		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20 21					
22	-				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	DOCS\671469v1		- 3 -		

Milberg LLP Lodestar Report Korean Airlines

Period: Inception to to October 3, 2013

Name	Title	Hours Worked	Billing Rate	Lodestar
Andrejkovics, Paul J.	Partner	99.00	\$625	\$61,875.00
Azar, David	Partner	1,641.75	\$550	\$902,962.50
Dover, Anna	Partner	182.00	\$550	\$100,100.00
Messinger, Jeffrey R.	Partner	110.00	\$750	\$82,500.00
Novak, Paul F.	Partner	603.00	\$775	\$467,325.00
Safirstein, Peter	Partner	125.50	\$750	\$94,125.00
Westerman, Jeff S.	Partner	807.75	\$825	\$666,393.75
Furukawa, Michelle	Associate	1,110.75	\$450	\$499,837.50
Sokolowski, Andrew	Associate	102.00	\$475	\$48,450.00
Morganti, Andrew	Of Counsel	235.50	\$500	\$117,750.00
Scoville, William	Senior Counsel	96.00	\$550	\$52,800.00
Lee, Jennifer	Contract Attorney	4,350.50	\$275	\$1,196,387.50
Bodenstein, Linda	Paralegal	44.50	\$245	\$10,902.50
Chaffins, Cecille	Paralegal	681.00	\$325	\$221,325.00
Chang, Sharon	Paralegal	27.50	\$285	\$7,837.50
Choi, Kyung-Rok	Paralegal	71.00	\$300	\$21,300.00
Diponio, Livia	Paralegal	14.50	\$275	\$3,987.50
Laratro, Lisa	Paralegal	12.50	\$220	\$2,750.00
Maher, Meghan	Paralegal	104.25	\$270	\$28,147.50
Brown, James M.	Forensic Acct.	45.75	\$475	\$21,731.25
Lomonaco, Kristi	Forensic Acct.	21.00	\$475	\$9,975.00
Muir, Michelle	Forensic Acct.	62.00	\$500	\$31,000.00
Bursey, W. S.	Investigator	25.00	\$550	\$13,750.00
Ortiz, Jessica	Document Clerk	60.00	\$300	\$18,000.00
Та	otals	10,632.75		\$4,681,212.50

Case 2:	07-cv-05107-SJO-AGR	Document 625-4 #:8591	Filed 10/04/13	Page 7 of 38	Page ID
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14		EX	HIBIT 2		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21	-				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	DOCS\671469v1		- 4 -		

Milberg LLP Expense Report Korean Airlines

	Amount
Meals, Hotels & Transportation	\$3,188.88
Filing/Court Fees	219.50
Postage, Telephone, Fascimile	2,180.98
Court Transcript	542.40
Reproduction	351.35
Courier Service	29.46
Online Legal Research	7,404.87
Secretarial/Support Staff Overtime	20.90
Data Hosting	13,480.00
Non-Expert/Vendor Services	125.70
Assessments (Litigation Fund Contribution)	64,667.00
Electronic Document Litigation Support	127,800.00
Total Expenses	\$220,011.04

Case 2:	07-cv-05107-SJO-AGR	Document 625-4 #:8593	Filed 10/04/13	Page 9 of 38	Page ID
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					,
14		EX	HIBIT 3		
15		272			
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			5		
	DOCS\671469v1		- 5 -		



1

THE FIRM'S PRACTICE AND ACHIEVEMENTS

Milberg LLP, founded in 1965, was one of the first law firms to prosecute class actions in federal courts on behalf of investors and consumers. The Firm pioneered this type of litigation and is widely recognized as a leader in defending the rights of victims of corporate and other large-scale wrongdoing. The Firm's practice focuses on the prosecution of class and complex actions in many fields, including securities, corporate fiduciary, ERISA, consumer, False Claims Act, antitrust, bankruptcy, mass tort, and human rights litigation. The Firm has offices in New York City, Los Angeles, and Detroit.

In its early years, the Firm built a new area of legal practice in representing shareholder interests under the then recently amended Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which allowed securities fraud cases, among others, to proceed as class actions. In the following decades, the Firm obtained decisions establishing important legal precedents in many of its areas of practice and prosecuted cases that set benchmarks in terms of case theories, organization, discovery, trial results, methods of settlement, and amounts recovered and distributed to clients and class members.

Important milestones in the Firm's early years include the Firm's involvement in the *U.S. Financial* litigation in the early 1970s, one of the earliest large class actions, which resulted in a \$50 million recovery for purchasers of the securities of a failed real estate development company; the Ninth Circuit decision in *Blackie v. Barrack* in 1975, which established the fraud-on-the-market doctrine for securities fraud actions; the Firm's colead counsel position in the *In re Washington Public Power Supply System Securities Litigation*, a seminal securities fraud action in the 1980s in terms of complexity and amounts recovered; the representation of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation in a year-long trial to recover banking losses from a major accounting firm, leading to a precedent-setting global settlement; attacking the Drexel-Milken "daisy chain" of illicit junkbond financing arrangements with numerous cases that resulted in substantial recoveries for investors; representing life insurance policyholders defrauded by "vanishing premium" and other improper sales tactics and obtaining large recoveries from industry participants; and ground-breaking roles in the multi-front attack on deception and other improper activities in the tobacco industry.

Milberg remains at the forefront in its areas of practice. Significant litigation results include: In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation (post-verdict proceedings pending with claims valued at over \$1 billion); In re Tyco International, Ltd. Securities Litigation (\$3.2 billion settlement); In re Nortel Networks Corp. Securities Litigation (settlement for cash and stock valued at \$1.142 billion); In re Lucent Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation (\$600 million recovery); In re Raytheon Co. Securities Litigation (\$460 million recovery); In re Managed Care Litigation (recoveries over \$1 billion and major changes in HMO practices); the In re Washington Public Power Supply System Securities Litigation (settlements totaling \$775 million), and the In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation (\$1 billion in recoveries). Milberg has been responsible for recoveries valued at approximately \$55 billion during the life of the Firm.

The Firm's lawyers come from many different professional backgrounds. They include professors, prosecutors, private defense attorneys, and government lawyers. The Firm's ability to pursue claims against defendants is augmented by its team of investigators, headed by a 27-year veteran of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, as well as in-house staff with expertise in forensic accounting and financial analysis. In addition, Milberg offers in-house e-discovery specialists and data hosting capabilities. The Firm is regularly recognized as one of the nation's leading plaintiffs' law firms by the *National Law Journal*, *Legal 500*, *Chambers USA*, and *Super Lawyers*, among others.

For more information, please visit www.milberg.com.

JUDICIAL COMMENDATIONS

Milberg has been commended by countless judges throughout the country for the quality of its representation.

Milberg partners played leading roles in representing class plaintiffs in a nearly four-month jury trial in *In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-5571 (S.D.N.Y.), which in January 2010 resulted in a jury verdict for an international class of defrauded investors (with claims valued at over \$1 billion; claims procedure pending). At the close of the trial, Judge Richard Holwell commented:

I can only say that this is by far the best tried case that I have had in my time on the bench. I don't think either side could have tried the case better than these counsel have.

In approving a \$3.2 billion securities fraud settlement, one of the largest in history, in *In re Tyco International, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, 535 F. Supp. 2d 249, 270 (D.N.H. 2007), Judge Barbadoro lauded Milberg's efforts as co-lead counsel:

This was an extraordinarily complex and hard-fought case. Co-Lead Counsel put massive resources and effort into the case for five long years, accumulating [millions of dollars in expenses] and expending [hundreds of thousands of hours] on a wholly contingent basis. But for Co-Lead Counsel's enormous expenditure of time, money, and effort, they would not have been able to negotiate an end result so favorable for the class. . . . Lead Counsel's continued, dogged effort over the past five years is a major reason for the magnitude of the recovery. . . .

In Simon v. KPMG LLP, No. 05-3189, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35943, at *18, 30-31 (D.N.J. June 2, 2006), a case in which Milberg served as class counsel, Judge Cavanaugh, in approving the \$153 million settlement, found that "Plaintiffs . . . retained highly competent and qualified attorneys" and that "[t]he Initial Complaint . . . demonstrates that [Milberg] expended considerable time and effort with the underlying factual and legal issues in this case before even filing this lawsuit. . . . Settlement discussions were conducted over a period of some fourteen months with the supervision and guidance of Judges Politan and Weinstein, and are evidence of [Milberg's] appreciation of the merits and complexity of this litigation."

In *In re Lucent Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 307 F. Supp. 2d 633, 641-47 (D.N.J. 2004), Judge Pisano issued an opinion approving the \$600 million settlement and complimenting Milberg's work as colead counsel for the class as follows:

[T]he attorneys representing the Plaintiffs are highly experienced in securities class action litigation and have successfully prosecuted numerous class actions throughout the United States. They are more than competent to conduct this action. Co-Lead Counsel diligently and aggressively represented the Plaintiffs before this Court and in the negotiations that resulted in the Settlement. . . . [T]he efforts and ingenuity of Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel resulted in an extremely valuable Settlement for the Benefit of the Class.

In *In re Rite Aid Corp. Securities Litigation*, 269 F. Supp. 2d 603, 611 (E.D. Pa. 2003), Judge Dalzell commented on the skill and efficiency of the Milberg attorneys litigating this complex case:

At the risk of belaboring the obvious, we pause to say a specific word about . . . the skill and efficiency of the attorneys involved. [Milberg was] extraordinarily deft and efficient in handling this most complex matter. [T]hey were at least eighteen months ahead of the United States Department of Justice in ferreting out the conduct that ultimately resulted in the write-down of over \$1.6 billion in previously reported Rite Aid earnings. . . . In short, it would be hard to equal the skill class counsel demonstrated here.



In *In re IKON Office Solutions, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 194 F.R.D. 166, 195 (E.D. Pa. 2000), Judge Katz commented on Milberg's skill and professionalism as one of plaintiffs' co-lead counsel:

First, class counsel is of high caliber and has extensive experience in similar class action litigation. . . . Each of the co-lead counsel firms has a national reputation for advocacy in securities class actions, and there is no doubt that this standing enhanced their ability both to prosecute the case effectively and to negotiate credibly. . . .

Of particular note in assessing the quality of representation is the professionalism with which all parties comported themselves. The submissions were of consistently high quality, and class counsel has been notably diligent in preparing filings in a timely manner even when under tight deadlines. This professionalism was also displayed in class counsel's willingness to cooperate with other counsel when appropriate. . . . This cooperation enabled the parties to focus their disputes on the issues that mattered most and to avoid pointless bickering over more minor matters.

In *In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation*, 187 F.R.D. 465, 474 (S.D.N.Y. 1998), in an opinion approving settlements totaling over \$1.027 billion, Judge Sweet commented:

Counsel for the Plaintiffs are preeminent in the field of class action litigation, and the roster of counsel for Defendants includes some of the largest, most successful and well regarded law firms in the country. It is difficult to conceive of better representation than the parties to this action achieved.

Judicial recognition of Milberg's excellence is not limited to courts within the United States. In *In re Flag Telecom Holdings, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-3400 (S.D.N.Y. 2009), Milberg litigated a discovery dispute before the English Royal High Court of Justice, Queens Bench Division, which recognized the Milberg attorney handling the matter as a "Grade A" lawyer and a "vital cog in the machine." Likewise, in *Sharma v. Timminco Ltd.*, 09-378701 (Can. Ont. Sup. Ct. 2009), Canada's Ontario Superior Court of Justice recognized Milberg's "fine reputation and excellent credentials" in connection with Milberg's representation in a securities case pending in Canada.

Milberg has also been recognized for its commitment to public service. In lauding Milberg's work representing victims of the September 11th attack on the World Trade Center in connection with the September 11 Victims Compensation Fund, Special Master Kenneth R. Feinberg stated the following:

Once again, as I have learned over the years here in New York, the [Milberg] firm steps up to the plate in the public interest time and time again. The social conscience of the [Milberg] firm, acting through its excellent associates and partners, help deal with crises that confront the American people and others, and I am personally in the debt of Milberg... for the work that it is doing . . . [T]hey are second among none in terms of the public interest, and I'm very, very grateful, not only to you guys for doing this, but . . . for the firm's willingness to help out. I wanted to let everybody know that.

In re September 11 Victim Compensation Fund, Preliminary Hearing, Claim No. 212-003658 (Dec. 9, 2003).

3



NOTEWORTHY RESULTS

The quality of Milberg's representation is further evidenced by the Firm's numerous significant recoveries, some of which are described below.

- In re Chase Bank USA, N.A. "Check Loan" Contract Litig., No. 09-2032 (N.D. Cal.). Milberg served on the Executive Committee representing the class in this action against JP Morgan Chase & Co. The complaint alleged that Chase improperly increased by 150% the minimum monthly payment requirement for customers who entered into balance transfer loans with "fixed" interest rates that were guaranteed to remain so for the "life of the loan." Milberg and its co-counsel, achieved a \$100 million settlement for the class.
- In *In re Vivendi Universal*, *S.A. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-5571 (S.D.N.Y.), Milberg lawyers were instrumental in obtaining a jury verdict for an international class of defrauded investors after a trial lasting nearly four months. The jury found Vivendi liable for 57 false or misleading class period statements. The case is now in post-verdict proceedings. Even with claimants who made foreign purchases removed from the class after the Supreme Court's *Morrison* decision, total damage claims exceed \$1 billion.
- Mason v. Medline, No. 07-05615 (N.D. III.). Milberg successfully represented a healthcare worker in a False Claims Act case against his former employer, Medline Industries, Inc., one of the nation's largest suppliers of medical and surgical products, along with its charitable arm, The Medline Foundation. The suit alleged that Medline engaged in a widespread illegal kickback scheme targeting hospitals and other healthcare providers that purchase medical products paid for by federal healthcare programs. Although a party to the settlement agreement, the U.S. Department of Justice chose not to intervene in the lawsuit. Milberg pursued the case on a non-intervened basis and recovered \$85 million on behalf of the federal government -- one of the largest settlements of a False Claims Act case in which the government declined to intervene. The whistleblower was awarded 27.5% of the proceeds.

- Blessing v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc., No. 09-10035 (S.D.N.Y.). This antitrust case stemmed from the 2008 merger of Sirius Satellite Radio, Inc. and XM Satellite Holdings, Inc. that created Sirius XM, the nation's only satellite radio company. The plaintiffs alleged that the merger of the only two U.S. satellite radio providers was an illegal move to eliminate competition and monopolize the satellite radio market. Before the merger, Sirius CEO Mel Karmazin convinced regulators not to block the deal by promising that "the combined company will not raise prices" and that the merger would actually result in "lower prices and more choice for the consumer." After the merger, Sirius quickly reversed course, raised prices by 15-40%, and eliminated multiple radio stations. Milberg achieved a settlement for the class valued at \$180 million.
 - In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, No. 21-92 (S.D.N.Y.). Milberg represented investors in 310 consolidated securities actions arising from an alleged market manipulation scheme. Plaintiffs alleged, among other things, that approximately 55 defendant investment banks, in dealing with certain of their clients, conditioned certain allocations of shares in initial public offerings on the subsequent purchase of more shares in the aftermarket, thus artificially boosting the prices of the subject securities. This fraudulent scheme, plaintiffs alleged, was a major contributing factor in the now infamous technology "bubble" of the late 1990s and early 2000s. As a member of the court-appointed Plaintiffs' Executive Committee, and with certain partners appointed by the court as liaison counsel, Milberg oversaw the efforts of approximately 60 plaintiffs' firms in combating some of the most well-respected defense firms in the nation. In granting final approval to a \$586 million settlement on October 5, 2009, the court described the law firms comprising the



- Plaintiffs' Executive Committee as the "cream of the crop."
- Carlson v. Xerox, No. 00-1621 (D. Conn). Milberg served as co-lead counsel in this lawsuit, which consolidated 21 related cases alleging violations of the federal securities laws. Plaintiffs alleged that Xerox and several of its top officers reported false financial results during the class period and failed to adhere to the standard accounting practices the company claimed to have followed. In the course of litigating plaintiffs' claims, Milberg engaged in arduous and exhaustive factual discovery, including review and analysis of more than four million pages of complex accounting and auditing documents and thousands of pages of SEC deposition transcripts. Plaintiffs' claims survived three motions to dismiss and a motion for summary judgment, ultimately resulting in a \$750 million settlement, which received final approval on January 14, 2009.
- In re Tyco International Ltd., Securities Litigation, MDL 1335 (D.N.H.). served as co-lead counsel in this litigation, which involved claims under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 against Tyco and its former CEO, CFO, general counsel, and certain former directors arising out of allegations of Tyco's \$5.8 billion overstatement of income and \$900 million in insider trading, plus hundreds of millions of dollars looted by insiders motivated to commit the fraud. Plaintiffs also asserted claims under and 1934 Acts the 1933 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for allegedly publishing false audit opinions on Tyco's financial statements during the class period and properly, despite failing to audit Tyco knowledge of the fraud. On December 19, 2007, the court approved a \$3.2 billion settlement of the plaintiffs' claims and praised the work of co-lead counsel.
- In re Sears, Roebuck & Co. Securities Litigation, No. 02-7527 (N.D. Ill.). This case involved allegations that Sears concealed material adverse information concerning the financial condition, performance, and prospects of Sears' credit card operations, resulting in an artificially inflated stock price. The approved

- settlement provided \$215 million to compensate class members.
- In re General Electric Co. ERISA Litigation, No. 04-1398 (N.D.N.Y.). This ERISA class action was brought on behalf of current and former participants and beneficiaries of the General Electric ("G.E.") 401(k) Plan. Milberg, serving as co-lead counsel, achieved a \$40 million settlement on behalf of current and former G.E. employees who claimed that the company's 401(k) Plan fiduciaries imprudently invested more than two-thirds of the Plan's assets in company stock. The settlement included important structural changes to G.E.'s 401(k) plan valued at more than \$100 million.
- In re Biovail Corp. Securities Litigation, No. Milberg, representing 03-8917 (S.D.N.Y.). Local 282 Welfare Trust Fund and serving as co-lead counsel, litigated this complex securities class action brought on behalf of a class of defrauded investors, alleging that defendants made a series of materially false and misleading statements concerning Canadian company Biovail's publicly reported financial results and the company's then new hypertension/blood pressure drug, Cardizem LA. This was a highly complex case in which counsel took numerous depositions across the U.S. and Canada and obtained documents from defendants and several third-parties, including, among others, UBS, McKinsey & Co., and Merrill Lynch. Milberg obtained a \$138 million settlement for the class, and Biovail agreed to institute significant corporate governance changes.
- In re Nortel Networks Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 01-1855 (S.D.N.Y.). In this federal securities fraud class action, Milberg served as lead counsel for the class and the court-appointed lead plaintiff, the Trustees of the Ontario Public Service Employees' Union Pension Plan Trust Fund. In certifying the class, the court specifically rejected the defendants' argument that those who traded in Nortel securities on the Toronto Stock Exchange (and not the New York Stock Exchange) should be excluded from the class. The Second Circuit denied the defendants' attempted appeal. On January 29, 2007, the court approved a settlement valued at \$1.142 billion.



- In re American Express Financial Advisors Securities Litigation, No. 04-1773 (S.D.N.Y.). This case involved allegations that American Express Financial Advisors violated securities laws by representing to class members that the company would provide tailored financial advice, when the company actually provided "canned" financial plans and advice designed to steer clients into American Express and certain nonproprietary mutual funds. The case settled for \$100 million, with the settlement agreement requiring that the company institute remedial measures.
- In re Lucent Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 00-621 (D.N.J.). In this federal securities fraud action in which Milberg served as co-lead counsel, plaintiffs alleged, inter alia, that Lucent and its senior officers misrepresented the demand for Lucent's optical networking products and improperly recognized hundreds of millions of dollars in revenues. The settlement provided compensation of \$600 aggrieved shareholders million to purchased Lucent stock between October 1999 and December 2000.
- In re Raytheon Co. Securities Litigation, No. 99-12142 (D. Mass.). This case, in which Milberg served as lead counsel, concerned claims that a major defense contractor failed to write down assets adequately on long term construction contracts. In May 2004, Raytheon and its auditor, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, settled for a total of \$460 million.
- In In re Rite Aid Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 99-1349 (E.D. Pa.), in which Milberg served as co-lead counsel, the plaintiffs asserted federal securities fraud claims arising out of allegations that Rite Aid failed to disclose material problems with its store expansion and modernization program, resulting in artificially inflated earnings. Judge Dalzell approved class action settlements totaling \$334 million against Rite Aid (\$207 million), KPMG (\$125 million), and certain former executives of Rite Aid (\$1.6 million).
- In In re CMS Energy Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 02-72004 (E.D. Mich.), a federal securities fraud case arising out of alleged round-trip trading practices by CMS Energy

- Corporation, Judge Steeh approved a cash settlement of more than \$200 million. Milberg served as co-lead counsel in this litigation.
- In re Deutsche Telekom AG Securities Litigation, No. 00-9475 (S.D.N.Y.). Milberg served as co-lead counsel in this securities class action alleging that Deutsche Telekom issued a false and misleading registration statement, which improperly failed to disclose its plans to acquire VoiceStream Wireless Corporation and materially overstated the value of the company's real estate assets. On June 14, 2005, Judge Buchwald approved a \$120 million cash settlement.
- In re CVS Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 01-11464 (D. Mass). Milberg served as co-lead counsel in this class action alleging that defendants engaged in a series of accounting improprieties and issued false and misleading statements which artificially inflated the price of CVS stock. On September 7, 2005, Judge Tauro approved a \$110 million cash settlement for shareholders who acquired CVS stock between February 6, 2001, and October 30, 2001.
- Scheiner v. i2 Technologies, Inc., No. 01-418 (N.D. Tex.). Milberg served as lead counsel in this securities fraud case, filed on behalf of certain purchasers of i2 common stock. The plaintiffs alleged that certain of the company's senior executives made materially false and misleading statements and omissions in i2's public statements and other public documents regarding i2's software, thereby artificially inflating the price of i2's common stock. In May 2004, Milberg recovered a settlement of \$84.85 million.
- In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport ERISA Litigation, No. 04-1398 (D.N.J.). This was an ERISA breach of fiduciary duty class action against the Royal Dutch/Shell Oil Group of Companies on behalf of certain of the companies' U.S. employee investment plan participants. Notably, the \$90 million settlement included important provisions regarding the of individuals monitoring and training appointed to be ERISA fiduciaries.
- Milberg served as co-lead counsel in Irvine v. *ImClone* Systems, Inc., No. 02-0109

(S.D.N.Y.), in which a \$75 million cash settlement was approved by the court in July 2005. Plaintiffs alleged that ImClone issued a number of misrepresentations and fraudulent statements to the market regarding the likelihood of approval of the drug Erbitux, thereby artificially inflating the price of ImClone stock.

- In In re W.R. Grace & Co. (Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants v. Sealed Air Corp. and Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc.), Nos. 02-2210 and 02-2211 (D. Del.), Milberg acted as lead counsel for the asbestos personal injury and property damage committees in two separate fraudulent conveyance actions within the W.R. Grace bankruptcy. The actions sought to return the assets of Sealed Air Corporation and Fresenius Medical Care Holdings (each of which had been Grace subsidiaries prebankruptcy) to the W.R. Grace bankruptcy estate. Complaints in both cases were filed in mid-March 2002, and agreements in principle in both cases were reached on November 27, 2002, the last business day before trial was set to begin in the Sealed Air matter. The two settlements, which consisted of both cash and stock, were valued at approximately \$1 billion.
- Nelson v. Pacific Life Insurance Co., No. 03-131 (S.D. Ga.). Milberg served as lead counsel in this securities fraud class action arising from allegations of deceptive sales of deferred annuity tax shelters to investors for placement in retirement plans that are already tax-qualified. The court approved a \$60 million settlement of claims arising from such deception.
- The Firm was lead counsel in *In re Prudential Insurance Co. Sales Practice Litigation*, No. 95-4704 (D.N.J.), a landmark case challenging Prudential's sales practices that resulted in a recovery exceeding \$4 billion for certain policyholders. The settlement was approved in a comprehensive Third Circuit decision.
- In *In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1023 (S.D.N.Y.), Milberg served as co-lead counsel for a class of investors. The class alleged that the NASDAQ

- market-makers set and maintained wide spreads pursuant to an industry-wide conspiracy in one of the largest and most important antitrust cases in recent history. After more than three years of intense litigation, the case settled for a total of \$1.027 billion, one of the largest antitrust settlements at that time.
- In re Washington Public Power Supply System Securities Litigation, MDL 551 (D. Ariz.) was a massive securities fraud litigation in which Milberg served as co-lead counsel for a class that obtained settlements totaling \$775 million, the largest-ever securities fraud settlement at that time, after several months of trial.
- In re Exxon Valdez, No. 89-095 (D. Alaska) and In re Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Litigation, 3 AN-89-2533 (Alaska Sup. Ct. 3d Jud. Dist.). Milberg was a member of the Plaintiffs' Coordinating Committee and co-chair of the Plaintiffs' Law Committee in the massive litigation resulting from the Exxon Valdez oil spill in Alaska in March 1989. Plaintiffs obtained a jury verdict of \$5 billion, which, after years of appeals by Exxon, was reduced to approximately \$500 million by the United States Supreme Court. Recently the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit held that plaintiffs are entitled to post judgment interest on the award in the amount of approximately \$470 million.
- In In re Managed Care Litigation, MDL 1334 (S.D. Fla.). Final approval of a settlement between a nationwide class of physicians and defendant CIGNA Healthcare, valued in excess of \$500 million, was granted on April 22, 2004. A similar settlement valued in excess of \$400 million involving a nationwide class of physicians and Aetna was approved by the court on November 6, 2003. The settlements stem from a series of lawsuits filed in both state and federal courts by physicians and medical associations against many of the nation's largest health insurers arising from allegations that the insurers engaged in a fraudulent scheme to systematically obstruct, reduce, delay, and deny payments and reimbursements to health care providers. These settlements brought sweeping changes to the health care industry and significant improvements to physician-related business practices.

- In re Sunbeam Securities Litigation, No. 98-8258 (S.D. Fla). Milberg acted as co-lead counsel for the class. Plaintiffs alleged that Sunbeam, its auditor, and its management engaged in a massive accounting fraud which led to a restatement of over three years of previously reported financial results. The court approved a combined settlement of more than \$140 million, including a \$110 million settlement with Arthur Andersen LLP. Sunbeam's auditor. At that time, the Andersen settlement was one of the largest amounts ever paid by a public accounting firm to settle federal securities claims. The settlement with the individuals was achieved on the eve of trial, and ended almost four years of litigation against Andersen and Sunbeam's insiders, including Albert Dunlap, Sunbeam's former Chairman and CEO. The settlement included a personal contribution from Dunlap of \$15 million.
- In re Triton Energy Limited Securities Litigation, No. 98-256 (E.D. Tex.). Plaintiffs alleged that defendants misrepresented, among other things, the nature, quality, classification, and quantity of Triton's Southeast Asia oil and gas reserves during the period March 30, 1998 through July 17, 1998. The case settled for \$42 million.
- In *In re Thomas & Betts Securities Litigation*, No. 00-2127 (W.D. Tenn.), the plaintiffs, represented by Milberg as co-lead counsel, alleged that Thomas & Betts engaged in a series of accounting improprieties while publicly representing that its financial statements were in compliance with GAAP, and failed to disclose known trends and uncertainties regarding its internal control system and computer and information systems. The case settled for \$46.5 million dollars in cash from the company and \$4.65 in cash from its outside auditor, KPMG.
- MTC Electronic **Technologies** re In 93-0876 Shareholder Litigation, No. (E.D.N.Y.). Plaintiffs alleged that defendants false and misleading statements concerning, among other things, purported joint agreements establish venture to telecommunications systems and manufacture telecommunications equipment in China. The court approved a settlement of \$70 million,

- including \$65 million in cash and \$5 million worth of MTC Class A shares with "put" rights.
- In *In re PaineWebber Limited Partnerships Litigation*, No. 94-8547 (S.D.N.Y.). Milberg represented investors alleging that PaineWebber developed, marketed, and operated numerous investment partnerships as part of an ongoing conspiracy to defraud investors and enrich itself through excessive fees and commissions over a twelve-year period. On March 20, 1997, Judge Sidney Stein approved a \$200 million settlement, consisting of \$125 million in cash and \$75 million worth of guarantees and fee waivers.
- In Andrews v. AT&T, No. 91-175 (S.D. Ga.) the Firm represented a class of persons who paid for "900-number" calls premium-billed involved allegedly deceptive games of chance, starting in 1993. Defendants included major long-distance companies, which approved the call programs and billed for the calls. Defendant MCI settled for \$60 million in The class against AT&T was benefits. decertified on appeal and the Firm prosecuted the individual plaintiffs' claims, obtaining a jury verdict in 2003 for compensatory and punitive damages.

In the context of shareholder derivative actions, Milberg has protected shareholder investments by effectuating important changes in corporate governance as part of the global settlement of such cases. Cases in which such changes were made include:

In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litigation, No. 601272/2006 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.). On December 28, 2009, Milberg announced a \$62 million settlement for the derivative plaintiffs, which was approved by the Court on June 23, 2010. The settlement also resulted in significant corporate governance reforms, including the replacement of the offending directors and officers with new independent directors and officers; amendment of the company's bylaws to permit certain long-term substantial shareholders to propose, in the Company's own proxy materials, nominees for election as directors (proxy access); and the requirement that all equity grants be approved by both the



- Compensation Committee and a majority of the non-employee members of the Board.
- In re Topps Co., Inc. Shareholder Litig., No. 600715/2007 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty. Apr. 17, 2007). Milberg served as co-lead counsel in this transactional case, which led to a 2007 decision vindicating the rights of shareholders under the rules of comity and the doctrine of forum non conveniens to pursue claims in the most relevant forum, notwithstanding the fact that jurisdiction might also exist in the state of incorporation. This case was settled in late 2007 in exchange for a number of valuable disclosures for the class.
- In re Marketspan Corporate Shareholder Litigation, No. 15884/98 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Nassau Cnty.). The settlement agreement in this derivative case required modifications of corporate governance structure, changes to the

- audit committee, and changes in compensation awards and to the nominating committee.
- In re Trump Hotels Shareholder Derivative Litigation, No. 96-7820 (S.D.N.Y.). In this case, the plaintiff shareholders asserted various derivative claims on behalf of the company against certain Trump entities and senior Trump executives in connection with the self-serving sale of a failing casino to the company in which the plaintiffs held stock. Milberg negotiated a settlement on behalf of the plaintiffs that required Donald Trump to contribute a substantial portion of his personal interest in a pageant he co-owned. In addition, the settlement required the company to increase the number of directors on its board, and certain future transactions had to be reviewed by a special committee.

PRECEDENT-SETTING DECISIONS

Milberg has consistently been a leader in developing the federal securities, antitrust, and consumer protection laws for the benefit of investors and consumers. The Firm has represented individual and institutional plaintiffs in hundreds of class action litigations in federal and state courts throughout the country. In most of those cases, Milberg has served as lead or co-lead counsel. The Firm has also been responsible for establishing many important precedents, including the following:

- Platinum Partners v. Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., No. 1-11-2903 (Ill. App. Ct. Milberg represented an investment management group in a case against the Chicago Board **Options** Exchange, ("CBOE") and **Options** Clearing Corp. ("OCC"). The plaintiff investment management group alleged that it was injured when the CBOE and OCC privately disclosed strike price information to certain insiders prior to the information being made public. In the interim between the private disclosure and the public announcements, the plaintiff purchased tens of thousands of affected options. The lower court dismissed the complaint on the grounds that the **CBOE** and OCC, as self-regulatory organizations, were immune from However, the Appellate Court reversed, holding that a private disclosure to insiders served no regulatory purpose and should not be protected from suit. The Illinois Supreme Court declined the defendants' petition for leave to appeal.
- In Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds 130 S. Ct. 1784 (2010), Milberg, along with other co-lead counsel, won a significant victory before the U.S. Supreme Court, which issued a decision addressing when an investor is placed on "inquiry notice" of a securities fraud violation sufficient to trigger the statute of limitations under 28 U.S.C. § 1658(b). The Court unanimously ruled that the two-year statute of limitations was not triggered because plaintiffs did not have actual or constructive knowledge of "the facts constituting the violation," and as case was not time-barred. such, the Importantly, the Court held that the plaintiff must be on actual or constructive notice of facts concerning the defendants' scienter in order to trigger the statute of limitations. This decision is significant in that it potentially enables plaintiffs bring claims based to misstatements that are more than two years old.

- In re Lord Abbett Mutual Funds Fee Litigation, 553 F.3d 248 (3d Cir. 2009). This important decision set significant precedent regarding the scope of preemption under the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998 ("SLUSA"). In reversing the District Court's dismissal of the plaintiffs' claims, the Third Circuit held that "SLUSA does not mandate dismissal of an action in its entirety where the action includes only some pre-empted claims." In so holding, the court explained that "nothing in the language, legislative history, or relevant case law mandates the dismissal of an entire action that includes both claims that do not offend SLUSA's prohibition on state law securities class actions and claims that do "
- Abdullahi v. Pfizer, Inc., 562 F.3d 163, 170 (2d Cir. 2009). In this matter, the plaintiffs, their Nigerian children and families. asserted claims under the Alien Tort Statute ("ATS") in connection with Pfizer's clinical trial of the drug, Trovan, without their knowledge. In January 2009, the Second Circuit reversed the District Court's dismissal for lack of jurisdiction. The court held that the plaintiffs pled facts sufficient to state a cause of action under the ATS for a violation of prohibiting international law medical experimentation on human subjects without their consent.
- In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litigation, 866 N.Y.S.2d 10 (App. Div. 1st Dep't 2008). In this derivative case in which Milberg serves as co-lead counsel, plaintiff shareholders sued certain of the company's officers and directors based on allegations of illegal options backdating. The lower court dismissed the plaintiffs' claims, holding that the plaintiffs failed to make a pre-suit demand on the company's board, and that in any event, the board had already formed a special committee to investigate the misconduct. In this significant



opinion reversing the lower court's dismissal, the Appellate Division clarified the standards of demand futility and held that a board of directors loses the protection of the business judgment rule where there is evidence of the directors' self-dealing and poor judgment. The court noted that the mere creation of a special committee did not justify a stay of the action and did not demonstrate that the board took appropriate steps. Rather, "the picture presented in the complaint is that of a special committee taking a tepid rather than a vigorous approach to the misconduct and the resultant harm. Under such circumstances, the board should not be provided with any special protection."

- South Ferry LP #2 v. Killinger, 542 F.3d 776 (9th Cir. 2008). The important opinion issued by the Ninth Circuit in this securities fraud class action clarified. in the post-*Tellabs* environment, whether a theory of scienter based on the "core operations" inference satisfies the PSLRA's heightened pleading standard. siding with the plaintiffs, represented Milberg, the Ninth Circuit held that "[a]llegations that rely on the core operations inference are among the allegations that may be considered in the complete PSLRA analysis." The court explained that under the "holistic" approach required by Tellabs, all allegations must be "read as a whole" in considering whether plaintiffs adequately plead scienter. After remand, the District Court found that the plaintiffs sufficiently alleged scienter under the Ninth Circuit's analysis.
- In re Gilead Sciences Securities Litigation, 536 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008). In this securities fraud class action in which Milberg represents the plaintiffs, the Ninth Circuit reversed the District Court's dismissal of the complaint in this opinion clarifying loss causation pleading requirements. In ruling that the plaintiffs adequately pled loss causation, the Ninth Circuit held that the plaintiffs' complaint identified a "specific economic loss" following the issuance of a specific press release, along with allegations of misrepresentations that were described in "abundant detail." The opinion established that plaintiffs in a securities fraud action adequately plead loss causation where

- they provide sufficient detail of their loss causation theory and some assurance that the theory has a basis in fact. Based on this analysis, the dismissal was reversed, and the case was remanded to the District Court for further proceedings.
- In Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd., 551 U.S. 308 (2007), in which Milberg is lead counsel for the class, the United States Supreme Court announced a uniform standard for evaluating the sufficiency of a complaint under the PSLRA. The court held that on a motion to dismiss, a court "must consider the complaint in its entirety," accepting "all factual allegations in the complaint as true," as well as "tak[ing] into account plausible opposing inferences." remand, the Seventh Circuit concluded that "the plaintiffs have succeeded, with regard to the statements identified in our previous opinion as having been adequately alleged to be false and material, in pleading scienter in conformity with the requirements of the PSLRA. We therefore adhere to our decision to reverse the judgment of the district court dismissing the suit." The unanimous decision was written by Judge Richard A. Posner.
- Asher v. Baxter International, Inc., 377 F.3d 727 (7th Cir. 2004). In reversing and remanding the District Court's dismissal, the Seventh Circuit resolved in plaintiffs' favor an important issue involving the PSLRA's "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements. The court held that whether a cautionary statement is meaningful is an issue of fact, because whether a statement is meaningful or not depends in part on what the defendant knew when the statement was made as well as other issues of fact. Thus, this issue is not appropriately resolved on a motion to dismiss.
- Gebhardt v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., 335 F.3d 824 (8th Cir. 2003). This important decision strongly reaffirmed the principle that whether an undisclosed fact would have been material to investors cannot ordinarily be decided on a motion to dismiss. The Eighth Circuit, stressing that "[t]he question of materiality hinges on the particular circumstances of the company in question," observed that even relatively small errors in financial statements might be material if they concern areas of particular importance to



investors and raise questions about management integrity.

- In re Cabletron Systems, Inc., 311 F.3d 11 (1st Cir. 2002). In this opinion, the First Circuit joined the Second Circuit in allowing a complaint to be based on confidential sources. The court also accepted the argument made by plaintiffs, represented by Milberg, that courts should consider the amount of discovery taken place prior to deciding a motion to dismiss, with lack of discovery resulting correspondingly less stringent standard for pleading securities fraud claims with particularity.
- In Puckett v. Sony Music Entertainment, No. 108802/98 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty. 2002), a class action was certified against Sony Music Entertainment on behalf of a class of recording artists who were parties to standard Sony recording or production agreements entered into during the class period. The complaint alleged that Sony had a policy of treating the value added tax on foreign sales of recordings improperly thereby impermissibly reducing the royalties paid or credited to the class members. Justice DeGrasse of the New York State Supreme Court determined that class certification was appropriate and that Gary Puckett (of Gary Puckett & the Union Gap) and jazz musician and composer Robert Watson were appropriate class representatives to represent the class of artists and producers to whom Sony accounts for foreign record royalties.
- Novak v. Kasaks, 216 F.3d 300 (2d Cir. 2000). The Firm was lead counsel in this seminal securities fraud case in which the Second Circuit undertook an extensive analysis of the statutory text and the legislative history of the PSLRA and pre-existing Second Circuit case law. Among other things, the Second Circuit held that the PSLRA's pleading standard for scienter was largely equivalent to the preexisting Second Circuit standard and vacated the District Court's dismissal which sought to impose a higher standard for pleading scienter under the PSLRA. The Second Circuit also rejected any general requirement that plaintiffs' confidential sources must be disclosed to satisfy

- the PSLRA's newly-enacted particularity requirements.
- In re Advanta Corp. Securities Litigation, 180 F.3d 525 (3d Cir. 1999). Here, the plaintiffs, represented by Milberg, successfully argued that under the PSLRA, scienter is sufficiently pled by making an adequate showing that the defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard for the consequences of their actions. The Third Circuit specifically adopted the Second Circuit's scienter pleading standard for pleading fraud under the PSLRA.
- Hunt v. Alliance North American In Government Income Trust, Inc., 159 F.3d 723 (2d Cir. 1998), the Second Circuit reversed the District Court's ruling, which denied plaintiffs leave to amend to assert a cause of action against defendants for failing to disclose that the defendant Trust was unable to utilize proper "hedging" techniques to insure against risk of loss. In the court's view, taken together and in context, the Trust's representations would have misled a reasonable investor.
- In Shaw v. Digital Equipment Corp., 82 F.3d 1194 (1st Cir. 1996), the First Circuit remanded plaintiffs' action after affirming, in part, Milbergs' position that in association with the filing of a prospectus related to the issuance of securities, a corporate-issuer must disclose intra-quarter, materially adverse changes in its business, if such adverse changes constitute "material changes" the disclosure of which is required pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933.
- In re Salomon, Inc. Shareholders Derivative Litigation, 68 F.3d 554 (2d Cir. 1995). The Second Circuit affirmed the District Court's holding that derivative federal securities claims against defendants would not be referred to arbitration pursuant to the arbitration provisions of the Rules of the New York Stock Exchange, but would be tried in District Court. Shortly thereafter, the case settled for \$40 million.
- Kamen v. Kemper Financial Services, Inc., 500 U.S. 90 (1991). The Supreme Court upheld the right of a stockholder of a mutual fund to bring a derivative suit without first making a pre-suit demand. Specifically, the Court held that "where a gap in the federal securities laws must be bridged by a rule that bears on the allocation

MILBERG ...

of governing powers within the corporation, federal courts should incorporate state law into federal common law unless the particular state law in question is inconsistent with the policies underlying the federal statute. . . . Because a futility exception to demand does not impede the regulatory objectives of the [Investment Company Act], a court that is entertaining a derivative action under that statute must apply the demand futility exception as it is defined by the law of the State of incorporation."

- Mosesian v. Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co., 727 F.2d 873 (9th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 932 (1984). The Ninth Circuit upheld an investor's right to pursue a class action against an accounting firm, adopting statute of limitation rules for Section 10(b) suits that are favorable to investors.
- Hasan v. CleveTrust Realty Investors, 729 F.2d 372 (6th Cir. 1984). The Sixth Circuit very strictly construed, and thus narrowed, the ability of a "special litigation committee" of the board of a public company to terminate a derivative action brought by a shareholder.
- Fox v. Reich & Tang, Inc., 692 F.2d 250 (2d Cir. 1982), aff'd sub nom, Daily Income Fund, Inc. v. Fox, 464 U.S. 523 (1984). The court held that a Rule 23.1 demand is not required in a shareholder suit brought pursuant to Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act.
- Rifkin v. Crow, 574 F.2d 256 (5th Cir. 1978). The Fifth Circuit reversed an order granting

- summary judgment for defendants in a Section 10(b) case, paving the way for future acceptance of the "fraud-on-the-market" rationale in the Fifth Circuit.
- Blackie v. Barrack, 524 F.2d 891 (9th Cir. 1975), cert. denied, 429 U.S. 816 (1976). This is the seminal appellate decision on the use of the "fraud-on-the-market" theory of reliance, allowing investors who purchase stock at artificially inflated prices to recover even if they were personally unaware of the false and misleading statements reflected in the stock's price. In so holding, the court noted that class actions are necessary to protect the rights of defrauded purchasers of securities.
- Bershad v. McDonough, 300 F. Supp. 1051 (N.D. III. 1969), aff'd, 428 F.2d 693 (7th Cir. 1970). In this case, the plaintiff, represented by Milberg, obtained summary judgment on a claim for violation of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act, where the transaction at issue was structured by the defendants to look like a lawful option. The decision has been cited frequently in discussions as to the scope and purpose of Section 16(b).
- Heit v. Weitzen, 402 F.2d 909 (2d Cir. 1968). The court held that liability under Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act extends to defendants, such as auditors, who were not in privity with the named plaintiffs or the class represented by the named plaintiffs.

Partners

MICHAEL C. SPENCER graduated from Yale University in 1973 with a B.A. degree, *magna cum laude*, with distinction, in philosophy. While at Yale, he was elected to Phi Beta Kappa. Mr. Spencer received a J.D. degree from Harvard Law School, *cum laude*, in 1976.

Mr. Spencer has prosecuted a broad range of cases at Milberg LLP, with an emphasis on representing plaintiffs in class and other representative actions involving complex financial issues.

He was one of the principal trial counsel for plaintiffs in *In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), a securities fraud class action in which the jury returned a verdict for the plaintiffs in January 2010. He is presently handling post-trial motions and defendant's anticipated appeal. The case is notable for the size of the verdict and for inclusion of investors from France, England, and the Netherlands, as well as the United States, in the certified class.

Mr. Spencer has handled many other securities cases at the Firm, including those against defendants in the fields of technology, real estate, finance, leasing, manufacturing, and pharmaceuticals. His first exposure to this type of case was in the precedent-setting "WPPSS" litigation in the late 1980s, which involved bond defaults on nuclear power plants in the Pacific Northwest and established the blueprint for prosecuting many complex securities class actions that followed.

Mr. Spencer has also led the Firm's prosecution of other cases in diverse fields. He was one of two principal trial counsel representing the FDIC in its year-long trial against a major accounting firm involving failed-bank audits, which led to a global settlement covering all government claims just before closing arguments to the jury. He has prosecuted consumer and securities claims against companies that sold deferred annuities unsuitable for retirement plan investors. He has taken appraisal and breach of fiduciary duty cases to trial in Delaware and Pennsylvania. He had extensive involvement in representing a coalition of union

health care funds seeking to recover costs for treating smoking-related illnesses from the tobacco industry, pursuing the cases through several appeals. He has also represented plaintiffs in cases involving accounting malpractice, limited partnership investments, real estate closing fees and mortgage insurance, contract disputes, defamation, unlawful lotteries, and consumer deception.

Mr. Spencer began his legal career as a law clerk to U.S. District Judge Wm. Matthew Byrne Jr. in Los Angeles (1976-77). He then returned to New York and joined Cravath, Swaine & Moore as an associate, where he worked until 1986, when he joined Milberg as an associate and became a partner later that year.

ROBERT A. WALLNER received his B.A. degree from the University of Pennsylvania in 1976 graduating magna cum laude. He attended New York University School of Law, earning his J.D. degree in 1979. He was elected to the law school's Order of the Coif and served as an editor of the New York University Law Review.

Mr. Wallner has litigated complex securities, consumer and antitrust class actions throughout the country. He currently represents plaintiffs in lawsuits arising out of the Madoff Ponzi scheme. He has also represented investors in *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y), *In re CMS Energy Corporation Securities Litigation* (E.D. Mich.), and *In re Deutsche Telekom AG Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), and consumers in *In re Synthroid Marketing Litigation* (N.D. Ill.) and the *Mercedes-Benz Tire Litigation* (D.N.J.).

Mr. Wallner is a frequent lecturer on securities and complex litigation issues. He has served on the editorial board of *Securities Litigation Report*, as a faculty member of the American Bar Association's First Annual National Institute on Securities Litigation and Arbitration, and as a member of the Federal Courts Committee of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. He was recently recognized in Lawdragon's "100 Lawyers You Need to Know in Securities Litigation."

SANFORD P. DUMAIN attended Columbia University where he received his B.A. degree in 1978. He graduated *cum laude* from Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law of Yeshiva University in 1981.

Mr. Dumain represents plaintiffs in cases involving securities fraud, consumer fraud, insurance fraud, and violations of the antitrust laws.

Mr. Dumain was co-lead counsel in *In re Tyco International Ltd., Securities Litigation* in which \$3.2 billion was recovered for investors. Mr. Dumain also served as lead counsel in the securities class actions against Nortel and Biovail, which are the highest and third highest recoveries ever in cases involving Canadian companies. The *Nortel* settlement was valued at over \$1 billion and *Biovail* settled for over \$138 million in cash. Mr. Dumain successfully represented the City of San Jose, California against 13 of the City's broker-dealers and its outside accountants in connection with major losses in unauthorized bond trading.

Mr. Dumain began his career as a law clerk to Judge Warren W. Eginton, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut 1981-1982. During the early years of his practice, he also served as an Adjunct Instructor in Legal Writing and Moot Court at Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law.

Mr. Dumain has lectured for ALI-ABA concerning accountants' liability and has prosecuted several actions against accounting firms.

Judge Janet C. Hall of the District of Connecticut made the following comment in *In re Fine Host Corporation Securities Litigation* No. 97-2619 (D.Conn.): "The court also finds that the plaintiff class received excellent counseling, particularly from the Chair of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee, Attorney Dumain."

Mr. Dumain is admitted to practice in the State of New York, United States District Court for the Southern, Eastern, and Western Districts of New York, District of Colorado, and District of Connecticut, and United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Circuits.

Mr. Dumain is the Chair of the Firm's Executive Committee.

BARRY A. WEPRIN graduated from Harvard College in 1974. He received a J.D. degree from the New York University School of Law in 1978, and a master of public affairs from the Woodrow Wilson School of Princeton University in 1978. While in law school, Mr. Weprin was notes and comments editor of the New York University Law Review.

Since joining Milberg, Mr. Weprin has specialized in securities and insurance litigation. He has served as lead or co-lead counsel in a number of complex securities class action litigations. He was one of the principal attorneys in the sales practice litigations against The New York Life Insurance Company, The New England Life Insurance Service Company, The Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company, The John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company, and The Prudential Life Insurance Company which recovered billions of dollars for policyholders. Mr. Weprin is a frequent lecturer on complex litigation issues.

Previously, Mr. Weprin served as law clerk to Judge Charles P. Sifton of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York and was associated with the law firm of Wachtell Lipton Rosen & Katz where he specialized in commercial and securities litigation. He also served as general counsel to the New York State Housing Finance Agency and the New York State Medical Care Facilities Finance Agency, two agencies that issue tax exempt bonds for financing nonprofit medical facilities and qualified housing projects.

Mr. Weprin is very active in his community of Mamaroneck, New York, having served as a Town Councilman and a member of the Zoning Board of Appeals. He is President of the National Association of Shareholder and Consumer Attorneys (NASCAT) as well as Vice President of the Institute for Law and Economic Policy (ILEP).

Mr. Weprin is a member of the American Bar Association, the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, the New York County Lawyers Association, and the New York State Bar Association. Mr. Weprin is admitted to practice in New York, the United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court.

BRAD N. FRIEDMAN focuses his practice on various complex commercial matters, including securities, bankruptcy, consumer, and life insurance class actions.

Mr. Friedman has recovered billions of dollars on behalf of injured plaintiffs, including as lead counsel in numerous "vanishing premium" and "churning" life insurance sales practice class actions (including cases against Prudential and Metropolitan Life).

In 2009, after eight years of arduous litigation, Mr. Friedman recovered \$750 million for shareholders in *Carlson v. Xerox*, one of the 10 largest securities class-action settlements in U.S. history. Judge Thompson noted the complexity of the international accounting case and complimented Milberg's legal work, saying, "The class received high-quality legal representation and obtained a very large settlement in the face of vigorous opposition by highly experienced and skilled defense counsel."

In 2002, Mr. Friedman acted as lead counsel on behalf of various asbestos committees in the W.R. Grace bankruptcy and successfully recovered approximately \$1 billion through a fraudulent conveyance litigation that settled on the eve of trial.

Mr. Friedman is currently representing numerous individuals and organizations victimized by the Bernard Madoff Ponzi scheme.

Mr. Friedman began his legal career as a clerk to the Honorable Max Rosenn, United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. Following his clerkship, Mr. Friedman was associated with Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, where he worked until 1994. Mr. Friedman became a Milberg partner in 1996.

He is a member of the American Constitution Society, the Federal Bar Council, the American Bar Association, the American Association for Justice, the New York State Bar Association, and the New York City Bar Association.

KIRK E. CHAPMAN graduated *cum laude* from Harvard University in 1985 with a B.A. degree in biochemistry. He received his J.D. in 1989 from the University of Chicago where he was a member of the *Legal Forum* publication.

Mr. Chapman has over 18 years of experience litigating class and complex matters in a wide range

of practice areas. He began his career at Milberg LLP focusing on securities fraud and employment discrimination class actions. Recently, he was one of the Partners at Milberg who successfully prosecuted and settled the *In re Tyco International Ltd., Securities Litigation* for over \$3.2 billion in 2007.

Mr. Chapman practices extensively in the False Claims Act "Whistleblower" area as well as Mass Tort litigation. He is the head of Milberg's False Claims Act and Mass Tort practice groups.

Mr. Chapman has been counsel in cases generating some of the largest recoveries under the Federal False Claims Act, including representation of one of the whistleblowers in the FCA case brought against Bristol-Myers Squibb that resulted in the United States Government recovering over \$515 million for the Medicare and Medicaid program. He is also counsel in numerous other False Claims Act cases currently under seal.

Mr. Chapman is a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in the *Bextra* mass tort proceedings and is a member of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in the *Rezulin* mass tort litigation.

Mr. Chapman is a member of Taxpayers Against Fraud and the American Association for Justice. He is admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

ARIANA J. TADLER is a partner at Milberg LLP and is an elected member of the Firm's Executive Committee. She has extensive experience litigating complex securities and consumer class actions, including high profile, fast—paced cases. Ms. Tadler is widely recognized as one of the nation's leading authorities on electronic discovery and Chairs Milberg's E-Discovery Committee.

Ms. Tadler's accomplishments include litigation of three cases in the Eastern District of Virginia (a/k/a the "Rocket Docket") in less than four years, including *In re MicroStrategy Securities Litigation*, in which plaintiffs' counsel negotiated settlements valued at more than \$150 million. Ms. Tadler is one of the court appointed plaintiffs' liaison counsel in the *Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, in which the court approved a

\$586 million cash settlement in October 2009. Among the thousands of defendants in this coordinated action were 55 prominent investment banks and more than 300 corporate issuers. Ms. Tadler has also been retained in complex litigation as Special Discovery Counsel.

Ms. Tadler is the Chair of The Sedona Conference[®]'s Steering Committee for Working Group I on Electronic Document Retention and Production, the preeminent "think tank" on E Discovery. In addition, she is on the Advisory Board of Georgetown University Law Center's Advanced E Discovery Institute where she has helped educate federal judges and lawyers on E Discovery issues. Ms. Tadler has served on the Attorney Advisory Committee of the Judicial Improvements Committee of the Southern District of New York. She is actively involved in the reformulation of applicable E Discovery rules and best practices.

is AV Tadler Preeminent rated Ms. (Martindale Hubbell's highest rating) and has been recognized by several prominent legal industry ratings organizations, including: Lawdragon's top 500 lawyers in America (2010-present); Legal 500 in its list of recommended securities litigators in the country; Benchmark Plaintiffs Litigation as a New York securities litigation star; and New York Super Lawyers (2010-present). Ms. Tadler was a recipient of the Women's Venture Fund's 2011 Highest Leaf Award, an honor given annually to women who are exemplary leaders in the business world.

Ms. Tadler is frequently sought to speak on a variety of litigation and discovery-related topics and has also authored or co-authored several publications on E Discovery. Her recent speaking engagements include: HarrisMartin's National Conference of Mass Tort Dialogues (June 2012); PLI's Pretrial Practice 2012 Program (May 2012); ABA 6th National Institute on E Discovery (May 2012); 14th Annual Sedona Conference on Complex Litigation (May 2012); ABA Section of Litigation - Annual CLE Conference (April 2012); New York State Bar Association - Commercial and Federal Litigation Section (January Georgetown Law's Annual Advanced E Discovery Institute (November 2011); Thomson Reuters -15th Annual Electronic Discovery & Records Retention Conference (November 2011); Federal Bar Council – 12th Annual Fall Bench and Bar Retreat (October 2011).

Ms. Tadler co chairs Milberg's Client Development Committee and is a member of the Hiring, Diversity, Technology, and Women's Committees. Ms. Tadler is a member of various organizations including: American Association, American Bar Foundation (Fellow), American Association for Justice, Federal Bar Council, New York State Bar Association, New York County Lawyers Association, National Association of Women Judges (Resource Board), National Association of Women Lawyers, and The New York Inn of Court (Vice President). She is also involved in various community and not for profit organizations and currently serves on the boards of MFY Legal Services, Inc. and Women's Venture Fund.

Ms. Tadler graduated from Hamilton College in 1989. In 1992, she received her J.D. from Fordham University School of Law, where she was the Articles and Commentary Editor of the Fordham Urban Law Journal, a member of the Moot Court Board, and the 1990 recipient of the American Jurisprudence Award in Criminal Law.

LORI G. FELDMAN is a daughter of retired public employees and understands the importance of protecting the investments of employees, as well as the general public, against corporate fraud and breaches of fiduciary duty.

In addition to lecturing on class action practice, Ms. Feldman has served as co-chair of the Continuing Legal Education Committee of the Federal Bar Association for the Western District of Washington. Ms. Feldman has participated as panel faculty in national continuing legal education programs on ERISA and securities fraud class actions arising from the subprime and liquidity crisis. She was named a "Rising Star of Washington Law" by practitioners in Seattle and named a Super Lawyer in 2011 and 2012 by practitioners in the New York Metro area.

Ms. Feldman's representative recoveries exceed \$150 million. Recently, she recovered \$41.5 million for class members in litigation involving Washington Mutual, Inc. (W.D. Wash.), where plaintiffs received favorable appellate court opinions on the issues of scienter (South Ferry LP, #2 v. Killinger, 542 F.3d 776 (9th Cir. 2008)) and

class certification. Ms. Feldman also recovered millions of dollars for class members in litigation involving General Electric Co. (N.D.N.Y.), Rhythms Net Connections (D. Colo.), Gilead Sciences, Inc. (N.D. Cal.), and Boston Scientific Corp., among others. She is currently representing participants of defined contribution retirement plans in ERISA litigation involving, among others, British Petroleum (BP) (MDL), Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc. (S.D.N.Y.), Macy's, Inc. (S.D. Ohio), Textron, Inc. (D.R.I.), and AIG (S.D.N.Y.).

Ms. Feldman graduated from Albany Law School in 1990, where she served as an Executive Editor of the *Albany Law Review*. She has interned at the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office in Brooklyn, New York. She is admitted to the bars of the States of Washington and New York and federal district and appellate courts throughout the country.

Ms. Feldman is a member of the Firm's Executive Committee.

MATTHEW GLUCK was a litigation partner for over 30 years at Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP prior to joining Milberg. He frequently represented U.S. and foreign businesses and individuals in major litigation and other complex matters. He has also assisted clients in both formal bankruptcies and out-of-court restructurings of financially troubled companies.

Mr. Gluck twice served as adviser to the court in the restructuring of the Manville Trust in *In re Johns-Manville Corp.*, No. 85-8922 (S.D.N.Y.) and was the legal representative for future claimants in the Chapter 11 filing of Keene Corporation in *In re Keene Corp.*, No. 93-46090 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.). He also serves as a local judge in Muttontown, New York. He was one of the lead attorneys for the plaintiffs in the trial against Vivendi which resulted in what may be the largest jury verdict for plaintiffs in a securities class action. He conducted the examination of Vivendi's former CEO, CFO, and their accounting expert.

Mr. Gluck is admitted to the bar of the State of New York.

MATTHEW A. KUPILLAS graduated from the State University of New York at Albany in 1990 with a B.A. degree in philosophy. In 1994, Mr. Kupillas received his J.D. degree from New York University School of Law. Mr. Kupillas focuses his

practice primarily on class actions on behalf of defrauded investors and consumers, as well as complex commercial litigation. He is a member of the bar of the State of New York and is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of Colorado, the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

PAUL J. ANDREJKOVICS graduated from Union College in 1992, *Phi Beta Kappa*, *magna cum laude*, with a B.A. degree in political science. In 1995, Mr. Andrejkovics received his J.D. degree from Albany Law School.

Mr. Andrejkovics's practice concentrates on class action settlements and settlement administration. He was admitted as a member of the New York bar in 1996 and is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Northern, Southern, and Eastern Districts of New York.

KENT A. BRONSON received a B.A. from State University of New York at Binghamton in 1994. He graduated *cum laude* from University of Pittsburgh School of Law in 1998. During law school, Mr. Bronson was a research editor on the Law Review and a recipient of the Dean's Scholarship.

Mr. Bronson's practice is focused on securities, consumer and class action litigation. Prior to joining Milberg, while associated with another law firm. Mr. Bronson was part of a team of attorneys representing New York homeowners in In re Coordinated Title Insurance Litigation, Index No. 009600/2003 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Nassau Cnty.) who alleged that eight insurance companies doing business in New York state overcharged them for title insurance in refinance transactions. litigation resulted in complete recovery homeowners submitting valid claims. and reportedly the largest settlement of a consumer The presiding class action in Nassau County. Justice, in approving the \$31.5 million settlement of that litigation, described the prosecution of the case as reflecting "lawyering of the highest quality." Also, in In re Providian Financial Securities Litigation, MDL 1301 (E.D. Pa.), Mr. Bronson was one of the attorneys representing the Xerox (GB) Pension Scheme (which reportedly oversees approximately \$2.5 billion in employee retirement

funds for the British affiliate of Xerox Corp.) in a securities fraud class action lawsuit alleging that a major credit card company inflated its profits with illegal charges to consumers. The Court commented, in approving the \$38 million settlement of that case, on the "extremely high quality" and "skill and efficiency" of plaintiffs' counsel's work.

Mr. Bronson has litigated numerous complex class action and shareholder derivative cases in various state and federal courts, including, among others, In re Biovail Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 03-8917 (S.D.N.Y.) (in which Milberg LLP served as co-lead counsel on behalf of the Local 282 Welfare Trust Fund, and which was settled for \$138 corporate governance million and certain modifications); City of Miami Police Relief & Pension Fund v. Ryland Group, Inc., No. BC411143 (Cal. Super. Ct. Los Angeles Cnty.); New Jersey Carpenters Annuity Fund v. Meridian Diversified Fund Management, LLC, No. 10-5738 (S.D.N.Y.); New Jersey Carpenters Pension Fund v. infoGroup, Inc., No. 5334-VCN (Del. Ch.); and In re Massey Energy Co. Derivative & Class Action Litigation, No. 5430-VCS (Del. Ch.).

During law school, Mr. Bronson was a research editor of the University of Pittsburgh Law Review and a recipient of the University of Pittsburgh School of Law Dean's Scholarship.

Mr. Bronson is admitted to practice in New York State courts, the United States District Courts for the Southern, Eastern and Northern Districts of New York, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second and Tenth Circuits.

LEIGH SMITH received a B.A. degree, with high honors, and an M.A. degree from Rutgers University. Ms. Smith received a J.D. degree from Cornell Law School in 1999.

Ms. Smith focuses her practice primarily on class actions on behalf of defrauded investors. She also has significant experience with complex commercial litigation and consumer class actions. Her involvement in *In re Tyco International Ltd. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-1335, helped recover an aggregate settlement of \$3.2 billion.

While at Rutgers University, Ms. Smith majored in French and was elected to *Phi Beta Kappa* and *Phi Sigma Iota*. As a graduate student, she studied French literature and film and spent a year in France working as an assistant English

teacher. Ms. Smith taught French at Rutgers and at the University of Iowa before going to law school. During law school, Ms. Smith served as the Acquisitions Editor for the *Cornell Journal of Law and Public Policy* and was a member of the Cornell Moot Court Board. She also was active in a number of student organizations.

Prior to joining Milberg, Ms. Smith worked at large law firms in New York and New Jersey. She is admitted to practice in the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York, the District of New Jersey, the District of Massachusetts, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, and Ninth Circuits.

ARVIND B. KHURANA received his B.A. from State University of New York at Albany in 1993, and a J.D. from St. John's University School of Law in 1999, *Dean's List Graduate*. While in law school, Mr. Khurana was on the Dean's List from 1995-1999 and a member of the *American Bankruptcy Institute Law Review*.

Mr. Khurana focuses his practice primarily on class actions on behalf of defrauded investors and consumers, as well as complex commercial litigation. Prior to joining Milberg in August 2005, Mr. Khurana worked as an associate with a major international law firm in New York, concentrating in the area of complex commercial litigation.

Mr. Khurana is a member of the Federal Bar Council and admitted to practice in the state and federal courts of New York. He is also a member of the Firm's Diversity Committee.

KRISTI STAHNKE MCGREGOR received her B.A. degree in political science, *Phi Beta Kappa*, from the University of Florida in 1995. In 1999, Ms. McGregor received her J.D. degree from Emory University School of Law, where she was the Research Editor of the *Emory International Law Review* and student law clerk to Justice Norman Fletcher of the Georgia Supreme Court. In 2001, Ms. McGregor received her LL.M. degree from the Westfaelische Wilhelms-Universitaet Muenster, in Munester, Germany, where she was a Federal Chancellor Scholar through the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

For over a decade now, Ms. McGregor has focused her practice primarily on securities fraud class actions and derivative actions on behalf of

investors, as well as other complex litigation involving allegations of fraud and/or breach of fiduciary duty. Working together with her colleagues at Milberg, Ms. McGregor's work has contributed to over \$300 million in recoveries for investors.

Ms. McGregor has particular experience in international litigation, primarily involving European companies. She has used her German language skills and knowledge of the German legal system to represent investors in cases involving German companies, including In re Deutsche Telekom AG Securities Litigation, which resulted in a \$120 million settlement for U.S. holders of American Depository Shares, and In re NYSE Euronext Shareholders Litigation challenging the proposed merger of the NYSE with the Deutsche Boerse.

Prior to joining Milberg's New York office in 2002, Ms. McGregor practiced in the international corporate law section of a large Atlanta law firm advising German companies on their business in the U.S. Ms. McGregor was admitted to the Georgia bar in 1999, the New York bar in 2003, and the Florida bar in 2004.

ANDREI RADO focuses his practice on securities litigation, consumer class actions, and SEC whistleblower matters. Since the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act in 2010, Mr. Rado has represented numerous whistleblowers before the commission under a program that rewards and protects whistleblowers that report violations of securities laws to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Mr. Rado's securities practice has included numerous complex litigations nationwide, including *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, which alleges, in hundreds of consolidated cases pending in the Southern District of New York, that investment banks manipulated the initial public offerings of hundreds of companies, and mutual fund timing cases alleging that mutual fund managers allowed select investors to profit by improperly timing their trading in fund shares.

Mr. Rado has also litigated consumer class actions, including a case against jewelry company Zales for improperly denying credit-insurance claims made by unemployed and retired consumers, and a class action against computer maker Gateway

for improperly understating in advertising the costs of internet access to consumers, some of whom incurred internet-access fees of hundreds of dollars.

Prior to joining Milberg, Mr. Rado worked as an attorney at a New York City-based investment bank focusing on compliance, with rules and regulations relating to resales of control and restricted securities under the Securities Act of 1933. Mr. Rado also worked at another prominent New York City law firm specializing in plaintiffs' securities class action litigation.

Mr. Rado received his Juris Doctor degree from St. John's University School of Law, cum laude, in 1999, and is admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, as well as the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Mr. Rado was born in Bucharest Romania.

ANNA C. DOVER received a B.A. degree from Wesleyan University, with honors in Psychology, in 1995, and a J.D. degree from the University of California at Davis School of Law in 2001. While in law school, Ms. Dover was a member of the *UC Davis Law Review*.

Ms. Dover currently focuses her practice on representing whistleblowers in litigation under the False Claims Act. In addition, Ms. Dover has extensive experience litigating claims brought under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, including taking such cases to trial. As an active member of the New York Inn of Court, she has spoken at several CLE seminars.

Prior to joining Milberg, Ms. Dover was an associate at Robie & Matthai, P.C. in Los Angeles where her practice was focused on insurance and legal malpractice claims. While in law school, Ms. Dover was a member of the UC Davis Law Review.

Ms. Dover is admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York and the Central and Southern Districts of California, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court.

JEFFREY R. MESSINGER received his B.A. from the State University of New York at Stony Brook in 1980, and his J.D., from Boston University School of Law in 1985. Mr. Messinger has over 15 years experience litigating class and complex matters. Recently he was part of the

Milberg team that successfully prosecuted and settled the *In re Tyco International Ltd., Securities Litigation* for \$3.2 billion in 2007.

Mr. Messinger currently focuses his practice on plaintiffs' mass torts in pharmaceutical and medical device cases, and he has worked with Plaintiffs' Steering Committees in several litigations, including Kugel Mesh, Avandia, Yaz/Yasmin, and Bextra.

Mr. Messinger has also obtained significant settlements on behalf of victims of employment discrimination.

PAUL F. NOVAK received his B.A. and M.A. degrees from Michigan State University in 1983, and J.D. from Emory University School of Law in 1986. Mr. Novak is the head of Milberg's antitrust practice group and the managing partner of the Firm's Detroit office. He is active in a host of antitrust, securities, and consumer protection class action matters, and currently serves as interim colead counsel in multiple antitrust cases.

Paul F. Novak is the head of Milberg's antitrust practice group and the managing partner of the Firm's Detroit office. He is active in a host of antitrust, securities, and consumer protection class action matters, and currently serves as interim colead counsel in multiple antitrust cases.

Prior to joining Milberg, Mr. Novak practiced law in both the public sector, as an Assistant Attorney General for the State of Michigan and as the City Attorney of Lansing, and in the private sector consulting with clients on antitrust, environmental, and regulatory matters. assistant attorney general, Mr. Novak served as the Division Head of the Special Litigation Division with responsibility for antitrust enforcement, public utility matters, and securities litigation. emerged as a national leader in multistate litigation involving pricing practices in the pharmaceuticals industry, and served as lead counsel on behalf of all fifty state attorneys general in the In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litigation. He also served as lead counsel on behalf of the State of Michigan in several price fixing, monopolization, and merger cases in a broad spectrum of industries including health care, pharmaceuticals, and in cases involving Microsoft and Oracle Corporation.

Mr. Novak is the former Chair of the Michigan Bar Association's Antitrust, Franchising, and Trade

Regulation Section and is a contributing editor of the American Bar Association's Antitrust and Health Care Newsletter. He is also a member of the State Bar of Michigan United States Court Committee. He was awarded the Frank J. Kelley Excellence in Trial Advocacy Award for his work in antitrust enforcement. He has lectured on antitrust issues in the pharmaceuticals and insurance industries for the Practicing Law Institute. He served as chair of the National Association of Attorneys General ("NAAG") Midwest Antitrust Enforcement Task Force and as a member of the NAAG Airlines Industry Working Group and Prescription Drug Pricing Task Force.

JAMES M. SHAUGHNESSY joined Milberg in 2001. He started his legal career as a litigation associate at Casey, Lane & Mittendorf in 1969 and became a litigation partner at the firm in 1976. In 1982, Mr. Shaughnessy co-founded the firm of Haythe & Curley, (now the New York office of Torys LLP) and was the firm's original litigation partner. He was the managing partner of Haythe & Curley for two years. In 1987, Mr. Shaughnessy joined the firm of Windels, Marx, Davies & Ives (now known as Windels, Marx, Lane & Mittendorf, LLP) as a litigation partner. He was the chairman of the Windels, Marx Litigation Department from 1988 through 1998, and was a member of the firm's Executive Committee from 1990 to 1992.

Over the course of his career, Mr. Shaughnessy has specialized in securities, insurance, aviation, bankruptcy, mass tort, and *qui tam* litigation. Mr. Shaughnessy was lead defense counsel for Pan American World Airways, Inc. in *In re Air Disaster at Lockerbie Scotland on December 21, 1988*, M.D.L. 799 (E.D.N.Y.), and tried the liability issues in that case on behalf of Pan Am to a jury for three months. More recently, Mr. Shaughnessy was Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in the Zyprexa mass tort litigation and was a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in the *Avandia* mass tort litigation.

Mr. Shaughnessy is a 1969 cum laude graduate of New York University School of Law where he was a member of the Order of the Coif, the Managing Director of the Moot Court Board, and a recipient of the Benjamin F. Butler Award upon graduation. Mr. Shaughnessy is admitted to practice in the States of New York, California, and

New Jersey, as well as the United States Supreme Court and numerous other federal jurisdictions.

JENNIFER L. YOUNG represents defrauded investors and consumers. She recently litigated cases arising from misrepresentations in the sales of annuities and violations of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, achieving favorable settlements in both. Her practice currently includes representing victims of the Madoff Ponzi scheme.

Ms. Young is a member of Public Justice, and she serves on the organization's Case Development/Special Projects and Class Action Preservation Project Committees.

She is also active in the New York Inn of Court, serving on the Membership and Awards Committees.

Ms. Young has served on several drafting committees created by The Sedona Conference Working Group on Electronic Document Retention and Production, and she was a senior editor of *The Sedona Conference* Commentary on Proportionality in Electronic Discovery.

Ms. Young received a B.A. degree from University of South Carolina in 1996. She graduated *cum laude* from the University of South Carolina School of Law in 2002. While in law school, Ms. Young was associate editor in chief of the *South Carolina Law Review* and she was a member of the Moot Court Bar.

She is admitted to practice law the States of South Carolina and New York.

TODD KAMMERMAN focuses his practice on securities class action litigation, shareholder derivative litigation and commercial litigation. Mr. Kammerman's successful litigations include In re CMS Energy Securities Litigation, No. 02-72004 (E.D. Mich.) (\$200 million recovery); In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport ERISA Litigation, No. 04-1398 (D.N.J.) (\$90 million recovery); Scheiner v. i2 Technologies, No. 01-0418 (N.D. Tex.) (\$87.8 million recovery); In re Collins & Aikman Corporation Securities Litigation, No. 03-71173 (E.D. Mich.) (\$10.8 million recovery), and Mich II Holdings LLC v. Schron, No. 600736/10 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.) (represented certain defendants in connection with real estate dispute and successfully litigated motion to dismiss all claims against those defendants).

Mr. Kammerman played a pivotal role in the In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litigation, No. 601272/06 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.) (\$62 million recovery), particularly in drafting the appellate briefs which led to the seminal New York Appellate Division opinion, reported at 56 A.D.3d 49 (1st Dept 2008), clarifying the standards of demand futility, and holding that a board of directors loses the protection of the business judgment rule where there is evidence of self-dealing and poor judgment by the directors. He was also a member of the team that litigated the appeal in Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd. before the United States Supreme Court, in which the Supreme Court issued an opinion defining the pleading standard for scienter in all federal securities fraud cases, and is 308 reported at 551 U.S. (2007).

While at Cardozo, Mr. Kammerman was named an Alexander Fellow, through which he worked as a judicial intern in the chambers of the Honorable Joseph A. Greenaway, Jr., United States District Judge in Newark, New Jersey. Mr. Kammerman is a member of the bars of the States of New York and New Jersey and is admitted to practice before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Third and Eleventh Circuits and the United States District Courts for the District of New Jersey, Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and Eastern District of Michigan.

DAVID AZAR received his B.S. in Finance from Indiana University School of Business in 1991. He graduated from Duke University School of Law, magna cum laude, in 1999, where he was a member of the Order of the Coif (top 10% of the class). While in law school, he served as a senior editor of Law and Contemporary Problems, and was a member of the Moot Court Board. After law school, he clerked for Chief Justice Veasey of the Delaware Supreme Court.

Mr. Azar focuses his practice on antitrust, corporate governance, securities fraud class actions, and selected general business litigation matters. Mr. Azar has significant litigation experience, including first-chair trial and appellate work. He is also a contributing author of the forthcoming *Antitrust Law Developments* (7th Edition), scheduled for publication by the ABA Section of Antitrust Law in April 2012.

Mr. Azar's current representative matters include: serving as co-lead counsel in multi-district

litigation against Korean Air and Asiana Airlines for allegedly conspiring for more than six years to set prices for passenger airfares between the United States and Korea; serving as co-lead counsel in a class action against Wells Fargo Bank and Bank of New York Mellon for their alleged roles, as trustees, in causing more than \$1 billion in losses by investors in Medical Capital Holdings, Inc.; serving as co-lead counsel in a shareholder class action against the board of directors of International Rectifier Corporation for allegedly breaching their fiduciary duties by, among other things, blocking shareholders from accepting a premium tender offer for their shares; and representing a financial institution seeking to recover for breaches of contract and mortgage fraud against various individuals and entities.

Mr. Azar serves as a volunteer prosecutor through the Los Angeles Bar Association's Trial Advocacy Project, and he has been named by Los Angeles Magazine as a Southern California Super Lawyers Rising Star. He serves on the pro bono panel of the Harriett Buhai Center for Family Law, and he was awarded a Distinguished Service Award in 2009 for his continuing representation of a disabled father in a complex family law matter. Mr. Azar's pro bono work has also included: prevailing at trial in a case on behalf of a learning disabled student asserting claims under the American with Disabilities Act; successfully persuading the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to allow a disabled prisoner's federal civil rights case to proceed, resulting in a published decision on a matter of first impression; and assisting tenants in disputes with their landlords.

Mr. Azar has extensive knowledge of dispute resolution, having served as a mediator in more than 160 cases, and he has trained and reviewed other mediators. He served for five years as the editor of the quarterly publication of the Society of Professionals in Dispute Resolution, and was honored with the association's Presidential Recognition award.

PEGGY J. WEDGWORTH received a B.A. degree, in 1982 from Auburn University, and her J.D. degree from University of Alabama Law School in 1986. Ms. Wedgworth was an Assistant District Attorney in Brooklyn, New York from 1986 to 1989. Since leaving the public sector in 1989, she has handled various securities, commodities, and antitrust matters. She has

litigated antitrust and commodities class actions on behalf of plaintiffs including extensive experience in all aspects of pre-trial and discovery in, among other cases, In re Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation, No. 94-0897, 1996 WL 351180 (N.D. Ill. June 24, 1996) (approving \$351 million settlement); In re NASDAO Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation, 187 F.R.D. 465 (S.D.N.Y. 1998) (\$1,027,000,000 settlement); In re Microsoft MDL 1332 (D. Md.) Corp. Litigation, (consolidated class actions alleging long term unlawful maintenance of a monopoly and other anticompetitive conduct by Microsoft resulting favorable partial settlements); In re Soybean Futures Litigation, No. 89-7009 (N.D. III.) (\$21,500,000 class settlement providing claiming class members/sovbean futures traders a full recovery under plaintiffs' expert's formula); In re Sumitomo Copper Litigation, 74 F. Supp. 2d 393, 395 (S.D.N.Y. 1999) ("The recovery is the largest class action recovery in the 75 plus year history of the Commodity Exchange Act."); Kohen v. Pacific Investment Management Company, LLC, No. 05-4681 (N.D. Ill.) (certified class of treasury bond futures purchasers alleging manipulation of the futures market); Leider v. Ralfe, No. 01-3137 (D.N.J.) (alleging price-fixing and monopolization in the diamond market by DeBeers resulting in a settlement of \$250,000,000 and extensive injunctive relief), and In re Natural Gas Commodities Litigation, 03-6186 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$101 million settlement). While a partner at her previous firm, she was involved in numerous antitrust cases including, Air Cargo Shipping Services Antitrust Litigation, In re Digital Music Antitrust Litigation, In Re Chocolate Confectionary Antitrust Litigation, In re Aftermarket Filters Antitrust Litigation, In Re Rambus Antitrust Litigation, and In re Flash Memory Antitrust Litigation. Ms. Wedgworth speaks on topics relating to antitrust litigation, most recently speaking to the New York State Bar, Antitrust Division in January 2008. She also has extensive experience in securities litigation including most recently In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, which recently settled for \$586 million.

While in law school, Ms. Wedgworth was a member of the Moot Court Board and served as Manager of the National Moot Court Team.

ROLAND RIGGS focuses his practice on representing whistleblowers under the False Claims Act and the Dodd-Frank Act. He has represented whistleblowers in a number of industries, including the health care, banking, pharmaceutical, finance, construction, and defense industries. Mr. Riggs also represents defrauded investors and consumers. Among other cases, he currently represents investors in In re Merck & Co. Securities Litigation, and In re Oppenheimer Rochester Funds Group Securities Litigation, and consumers in The NVIDIA GPU Litigation. Prior to joining Milberg LLP, Mr. Riggs worked at a boutique firm in New York practicing securities litigation. During law school, Mr. Riggs served as a clerk for one summer for the Honorable Alfred V. Covello of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. He later worked at McLaughlin & McCaffrey, LLP in Cleveland, Ohio in the areas of commercial litigation and white collar criminal defense, and did pro bono corporate work representing charities at the Milton A. Kramer Law Clinic.

NICOLE DUCKETT FRICKE received her B.A. in English from Georgetown University in 1995, where she was on the Dean's List. She graduated from UCLA School of Law in 1998.

Ms. Fricke focuses her practice on securities fraud, antitrust, and consumer class actions. She joined the firm from Mayer Brown LLP where she practiced complex business litigation, white collar criminal defense, and corporate internal investigations. Ms. Fricke has vast trial experience as well as a wide-ranging appellate practice.

Ms. Fricke's recent representative matters include: serving as co-lead counsel in the antitrust class action against Sirius XM for raising prices after creating a monopoly of the satellite radio market; serving as lead counsel in the consumer fraud class action against NVIDIA Corporation for placing allegedly defective computer chips in the market; serving as co-lead counsel in the shareholder derivative action against The Ryland Group, Inc. for fostering egregious lending practices despite reprimands from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and serving as co-lead counsel in a securities and shareholder derivative and class action against Beckman Coulter, Inc. Prior representative matters include defending Avon Products, Inc. in a civil and criminal Foreign Corrupt **Practices** investigation conducted by the Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission; and defending Broadcom Corporation in a civil and criminal options backdating investigation conducted by the U.S. Attorneys' Office and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Ms. Fricke is a City Commissioner for the Los Angeles Convention Center and related entities including L.A. Live, the Staple Center, and the Los Angeles Visitors Bureau, serving as an advisor to Mayor Villaraigosa.

Ms. Fricke is also a Lawyer Representative for the Central District of California Attorney Delegation to the United States Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference.

Ms. Fricke is on the Leadership Council Board for the Fulfillment Fund, and she is active in the National Association of Securities Professionals, the Association of Business Trial Lawyers, and the Los Angeles County Bar Association.

Los Angeles Magazine named Ms. Fricke a Southern California Super Lawyers Rising Star each year since 2006.

While in law school, Ms. Fricke was an editor of the *UCLA National Black Law Journal* and a recipient of the Joseph Drowne Fellowship Award.

Of Counsel

PETER A. LENNON earned his B.S. and M.B.A. at West Chester University and received his J.D. from Widener University School of Law in 1993.

Mr. Lennon has specialized in the prosecution of complex civil cases involving tax fraud and violations of SEC Rules and Regulations with a particular emphasis on accounting and auditing issues for over fifteen years.

In addition to being an attorney, Mr. Lennon is also a Certified Public Accountant or C.P.A. with considerable auditing experience and has an M.B.A.

in Economics/Finance. Mr. Lennon also works as a Professor of Economics on an adjunct basis.

Mr. Lennon has been involved in numerous high profile cases against large public companies arising from accounting improprieties and disclosure violations, including cases involving the restatement of financial statements at Tyco, Xerox, Cendant, Waste Management, and Nortel Networks.

Peter Lennon is admitted to practice in New York and Pennsylvania.

Senior Counsel

JENNIFER S. CZEISLER graduated from Hofstra University in 1994 with a B.A. degree in psychology. After completing graduate degree work at Hunter School of Social Work (1994-95), she pursued a J.D. degree, which she earned in 1999 from the University of Miami School of Law, where she graduated *cum laude*. Ms. Czeisler was on the editorial board of the *Law Review of Psychology, Public Policy & Law* and earned numerous awards, including the CALI excellence for the Future Award, Dean's Certificate of Achievement Award, and membership in the Phi Delta Phi National Honor Society.

Ms. Czeisler is admitted to practice in the State of New York and is a member of the American Bar Association, where she is committed to her *pro bono* work with the American Bar Association Commission on Legal Problems of the Elderly.

HENRY KELSTON received a B.S. degree, cum laude, from Tufts University in 1975, and a J.D. degree from New York University School of Law in 1978, where he was a member of the Annual Survey of American Law.

Mr. Kelston's practice is concentrated in the areas of complex litigation and electronic discovery. He has extensive experience in state and federal court litigation, administrative proceedings, and

arbitrations. Mr. Kelston is a regular speaker and CLE presenter on electronic discovery. He is a member of The Sedona Conference® Working Group 1 on Electronic Document Retention and Production. Prior to joining Milberg, he practiced at Proskauer Rose in New York and Siegel, O'Connor & Kainen in Connecticut.

Mr. Kelston is admitted in the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District of Connecticut.

ELIZABETH MCKENNA focuses her practice primarily on antitrust litigation as well as on securities class action litigation on behalf of defrauded individuals and institutional investors. Prior to joining Milberg, Ms. McKenna was an associate in the New York office of Healy & Baillie, LLP (now part of Blank Rome LLP), where she practiced general commercial litigation. McKenna graduated from Fordham Law School in 1998. While at Fordham, she was a Stein Scholar in Public Interest Law & Ethics, a member of the Fordham Environmental Law Journal, and a Co-Director of the Fordham Student Sponsored Fellowship. Ms. McKenna is admitted to practice in the state courts of New York and in the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

Associates

ADAM BOBKIN received his B.B.A., magna cum laude, from Baruch College in 2004. In 2010, he earned his J.D. from Hofstra University School of Law.

Mr. Bobkin's practice includes representing a municipal pension fund in a complex FINRA arbitration; representing consumers misled by electronics manufacturers; and litigating internet privacy cases.

Prior to law school, Mr. Bobkin worked as an analyst at a boutique investment bank. During law school, Mr. Bobkin served as an Associate Editor of the *Journal of International Business and Law* and competed in the Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot held in Vienna, Austria.

Mr. Bobkin is admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York.

ANGELA G. BONGIORNO received her J.D. from Catholic University of Milan Law School in 2004 and her L.L.M. from Fordham University School of Law in 2008.

Ms. Bongiorno focuses her practice on mass torts, antitrust litigation, and institutional investor and client outreach. Prior to joining Milberg, she worked for an Italian law firm specializing in consumer law. Ms. Bongiorno also has conducted various research projects concerning the implementation of European Union regulations in Member States for the Italian Embassy of Malta and for Fondazione Rosselli, a think tank for Italian and European governmental bodies.

While in law school, Ms. Bongiorno interned with the Italian Embassy of Malta during Malta's accession to the European Union. She is fluent in Italian and conversant in Spanish.

Ms. Bongiorno is admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York.

MELISSA R. CLARK focuses her practice on securities class actions and shareholder derivative and privacy litigation.

Prior to joining Milberg, Ms. Clark was an associate at a boutique firm in New York, where she was part of a securities litigation team that recovered several multimillion-dollar settlements on behalf of investors.

Her legal work experience also includes judicial externships with the Honorable Jerry Brown, Chief Judge of the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Louisiana and the Honorable Jay C. Zainey of the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana. In addition, Ms. Clark clerked for the San Francisco District Attorney's Office.

While at Tulane Law, Ms. Clark was a Senior Justice and Chairperson for the Moot Court Board and a Legal Research & Writing Senior Fellow. Ms. Clark also studied for one semester at UC Berkeley-Boalt Hall, where she received high honors in Securities & Class Action Litigation and was a visiting member of the *California Law Review*.

Ms. Clark is admitted to practice in the state of New York, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. She is an active member of the New York City Bar Association, the Federal Bar Council, and the New York State Bar Association, where she serves on the Law, Youth & Citizenship Committee and Mock Trial subcommittee. Ms. Clark was recently recognized as a New York Super Lawyers "Rising Star."

ALASTAIR FINDEIS received his B.S. degree from the Virginia Military Institute in 1996 and his M.S. from the University of Virginia in 2000. In 2003, he earned his J.D. from Georgetown University.

Mr. Findeis focuses his practice on the representation of whistleblowers, public and private payors, and injured consumers in litigation involving healthcare fraud and abuse, including False Claims Act, mass tort, class action, and other complex litigation. Prior to joining Milberg, he gained extensive experience in pharmaceutical litigation. Prior to attending graduate school, Mr. Findeis was a Sub-Lieutenant in Britain's Royal Navy, graduating from the Britannia Royal Naval College and serving on HMS London. Mr. Findeis was admitted to the New York State bar in 2004 and is a member of Taxpayers Against Fraud.

MICHELLE FURUKAWA focuses her practice on securities, consumer, and antitrust litigation. While in law school, Ms. Furukawa served as co-editor-inchief of the UCLA Asian Pacific American Law Journal, clerked for the United States Securities & Exchange Commission, Division of Enforcement,

and was a judicial extern for the Honorable Sheri Bluebond, United States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California. Ms. Furukawa is on the Board of Governors of the Japanese American Bar Association of Greater Los Angeles, and is a member of the Association of Business Trial Lawyers and Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles. She sits on the Firm's Diversity Committee.

DIANA GJONAJ focuses her practice primarily on antitrust litigation, targeting illegal and anticompetitive practices on behalf of consumers and investors. She received her Bachelor Degree, *cum laude*, from the University of Michigan and her Juris Doctor from Wayne State University Law School. During law school, Ms. Gjonaj clerked for Milberg LLP in the Firm's Detroit office.

Ms. Gjonaj is admitted to practice in the state courts of Michigan, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. She is a member of the American Bar Association, Women's Lawyer Association of Michigan, and Michigan Association for Justice.

JOSHUA KELLER graduated from the University of North Carolina in 1998 and from Albany Law School of Union University in 2004.

Mr. Keller focuses his practice on securities and consumer fraud litigation. He has litigated class actions in both federal and state courts, including *In re Biovail Corp. Securities Litigation*, No. 03-8917 (S.D.N.Y.) (settling for \$138 million and certain corporate governance modifications) and *In re Sears, Roebuck & Co. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-7527 (N.D. III.) (settling for \$215 million). Currently, Mr. Keller represents numerous victims of the Madoff Ponzi scheme.

Mr. Keller is admitted to practice in the courts of the States of New York and Colorado, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

GLORIA KUI MELWANI litigates shareholder derivative cases and securities class actions in state and federal courts. Prior to joining Milberg, Ms. Melwani was associated with other plaintiffs' law firms, where she litigated shareholder derivative cases.

Ms. Melwani is a graduate of Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, where she was a Notes

Editor of the Cardozo *Public Law, Policy, and Ethics Journal*. She is admitted to practice in New York, New Jersey, and the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York and the District of New Jersey, as well as the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

MELISSA H. NAFASH focuses her practice in the area of medical device and pharmaceutical litigation. She was actively involved in the bellwether trials for the *In re Kugel Mesh Hernia Patch Products Liability Litigation*, MDL-1842. She litigated the Composix Kugel cases pending in the Superior Court of the State of Rhode Island. Ms. Nafash also represents people who have been injured by Avandia. Currently, Ms. Nafash is litigating cases against the tobacco industry in Florida state court.

Ms. Nafash is a graduate of Roger Williams University School of Law, where she earned the school's highest distinction in trial advocacy and certificates of distinction in mediation. Ms. Nafash represented her law school nationally in mediation competitions and was a member of the Rhode Island Family Inns of Court. Ms. Nafash is admitted to the bars of New York, New Jersey, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, as well as the United States District Court for the **Districts** of Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and New Jersey.

BIRT REYNOLDS represents defrauded consumers and investors in class actions. He also represents whistleblowers in False Claims Act litigation. Before joining Milberg, Mr. Reynolds clerked for a magistrate judge in the Middle District of Florida, as well as Florida appellate and trial court judges.

Mr. Reynolds graduated with a B.A. from the University of South Florida in 2000. In 2004, he earned his J.D. from Case Western Reserve University School of Law. Mr. Reynolds is admitted to practice in the state courts of Florida and New York.

JOHN SEREDYNSKI received a B.A. from Villanova University in 2003 and a J.D. from New York Law School in 2010. John Seredynski's practice focuses on class action litigation involving defrauded investors and consumers in federal and state courts. Before joining Milberg LLP, Mr. Seredynski worked at a boutique firm in New York practicing securities litigation. During law school, Mr. Seredynski was named to the Dean's List, and served as a research assistant for the Center for New York City Law. Prior to law school, Mr. Seredynski

worked on a presidential campaign, and served as Judiciary Committee Staff Intern to a member of the United States Senate.

Mr. Seredynski is admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

JESSICA SLEATER received a B.A. from Truman State University in 2002, and a J.D. from Saint Louis University School of Law in 2007. Ms. Sleater's practice focuses on class action litigation involving defrauded investors and consumers in federal and state courts. Ms. Sleater also has experience in shareholder litigation and has represented the rights of public shareholders of companies, whose management had agreed to a corporate buyout, merger, or other corporate transaction.

Prior to joining the Firm, Ms. Sleater most recently practiced at a boutique firm in New York specializing in securities litigation. She also previously worked for the Metropolitan Transportation Authority-New York City Transit and was an Assistant Attorney General for the State of Missouri. During law school, Ms. Sleater served as a law clerk for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and the Missouri Attorney General's Office. Also while in law school, Ms. Sleater was selected as the Editor-in-Chief of the Saint Louis University Public Law Review.

Ms. Sleater is admitted to practice in the courts of the States of New York and Missouri, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

CHARLES SLIDDERS received his L.L.B., from Melbourne University in 1994, with honors, and his L.L.M, from Monash University in 2002. Mr. Slidders is an experienced commercial litigator with almost fifteen years of litigation experience. Prior to joining Milberg in 2008, Mr. Slidders was the principal and founding partner of one of Melbourne, Australia's premier boutique commercial litigation firms. He has frequently appeared in Australia's mainstream media in relation to his legal work.

Mr. Slidders has significant experience in plaintiffs' and class action litigation. He has acted in a variety of matters involving Australia's antitrust (trade practices) laws, corporations law, and general business and property law.

Mr. Slidders has been influential in shaping the law in Australia. He precipitated the retrospective amendment of Victoria's domestic building laws after finding a loophole in the legislation that he successfully litigated before the Supreme Court of Victoria. He also initiated one of Australia's largest multiparty claims alleging breach of fiduciary duties by property developers.

Mr. Slidders' firm was preferred counsel for Victoria's farming community through the Victorian Farmers Federation - the body representing more than 20,000 Victorian farmers. He has acted in agribusiness matters involving trade practices issues against multinational grain trade companies (disputes involving hundreds of millions of dollars of derivative contracts on the CBOT). He has also advised shareholders in a derivative dispute with the management of one of Australia's leading egg wholesalers.

Mr. Slidders is admitted to the bar of New York and is admitted to practice law in Victoria, Australia.

JENNIFER J. SOSA graduated from Northeastern University with a B.S. degree in Chemical Engineering, *cum laude*, in 2002. In 2005, she earned her J.D. degree from Temple University Beasley School of Law. During law school, she was a member of the Environmental Moot Court Team and was awarded the David Sive Award for Best Brief overall in the 2004 Pace National Environmental Law Moot Court Competition.

Ms. Sosa focuses her practice on ERISA litigation and is actively involved in a number of matters including *In re Boston Scientific Corp. ERISA Litigation* (D. Mass.), *In re Morgan Stanley ERISA Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), and *In re First American Corp. ERISA Litigation* (C.D. Cal.). Ms. Sosa has also concentrated part of her practice on class actions against defrauded stockholders in cases such as *South Ferry LP # 2 v. Killinger, et al.* (W.D. Wash.), as well as the investigation and prosecution of antitrust and consumer protection actions.

Ms. Sosa is admitted to practice law in the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York and the District of New Jersey, as well as New York and New Jersey state courts. Prior to law school, Ms. Sosa worked as a Chemical Engineer.

GREGORY STAMATOPOULOS focuses his practice on antitrust litigation, consumer protection, and e-discovery.

Mr. Stamatopoulos graduated from Michigan State University with a B.A., with honors, in 2006. In 2010, he earned his J.D. degree from Wayne State University School of Law.

During law school, Mr. Stamatopoulos served as Chairperson of The Free Legal Aid Clinic in Detroit, managing a facility that specializes in providing family and elder law services to city residents. As an undergraduate, Mr. Stamatopoulos double-majored in International Relations and Russian.

Mr. Stamatopoulos is admitted to practice in the state courts of Michigan and New York.

NATHANIEL TARNOR graduated from the University of Illinois with a B.A. degree in 2000. In 2004, he earned his J.D. degree from Chicago-Kent College of Law.

Mr. Tarnor concentrates his practice on complex litigation and corporate accountability. He has represented clients before the U.S. Supreme Court, various federal courts of appeals, and numerous trial courts on a wide variety of issues. Mr. Tarnor's practice areas have included antitrust, civil rights, consumer protection, securities, and shareholder derivative litigation, among others. Additionally, Mr. Tarnor has extensive experience in international litigation and international human rights law. In this

regard, he has devoted substantial amounts of probono time to assisting human rights victims and their families, and his prior legal work has included representing human rights victims pursuant to the Torture Victim Protection Act, Alien Tort Statute, and Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act.

While in law school, Mr. Tarnor was captain of his law school's international law moot court team, inducted into the International Law Moot Court Honor Society, and focused his academic studies and was awarded a certificate in international and comparative law. Mr. Tarnor is a member of the American Bar Association, American Association for Justice, New York State Bar Association, New York City Bar Association, Federal Bar Council, and the American Society of International Law.

Mr. Tarnor is admitted to practice before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Seventh, and D.C. Circuits, the U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Northern and Central Districts of Illinois, the District of Columbia, and the District of Colorado. Mr. Tarnor is also admitted to practice in New York, Illinois, and Washington, D.C.

Staff Attorney

CHRISTOPHER SCHUYLER focuses his practice on False Claims Act litigation, consumer class actions, and e-discovery.

Before joining Milberg, Mr. Schuyler clerked with the Fortune Society, a New York City non-profit organization focused on providing an alternative to incarceration for non-violent offenders. While in law school, he co-chaired a student organization promoting pro bono legal assistance to indigent members of the community, a role for which he was awarded a university scholarship for public service.

Mr. Schuyler graduated from Temple University, *cum laude*, with a B.A. degree in 2007. In 2011 he earned his J.D. degree from the University of Dayton School of Law. Mr. Schuyler is a member of the bar of the State of New York and is admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.